

From String Theory to the Standard Model

Hans Peter Nilles

Physikalisches Institut

Universität Bonn



Questions

- What can we learn from strings for particle physics?
- Can we incorporate particle physics models within the framework of string theory?

Questions

- What can we learn from strings for particle physics?
- Can we incorporate particle physics models within the framework of string theory?

Recent progress:

- explicit model building towards the MSSM
 - Heterotic brane world
 - local grand unification
- moduli stabilization and Susy breakdown
 - gaugino condensation and uplifting
 - mirage mediation

The road to the Standard Model

What do we want?

- gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- 3 families of quarks and leptons
- scalar Higgs doublet

The road to the Standard Model

What do we want?

- gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- 3 families of quarks and leptons
- scalar Higgs doublet

But there might be more:

- supersymmetry (SM extended to MSSM)
- neutrino masses and mixings

as a hint for a large mass scale around 10^{16} GeV

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

$M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ and $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim 10^3 \text{ GeV}$:

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

$M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16} \text{GeV}$ and $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim 10^3 \text{GeV}$:

- **Neutrino-oscillations** and “See-Saw Mechanism”

$$m_\nu \sim M_W^2 / M_{\text{GUT}}$$

$$m_\nu \sim 10^{-3} \text{eV for } M_W \sim 100 \text{GeV},$$

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

$M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16} \text{GeV}$ and $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim 10^3 \text{GeV}$:


- **Neutrino-oscillations** and “See-Saw Mechanism”

$$m_\nu \sim M_W^2 / M_{\text{GUT}}$$


$$m_\nu \sim 10^{-3} \text{eV for } M_W \sim 100 \text{GeV},$$

- **Evolution of couplings constants** of the standard model towards higher energies.

MSSM (supersymmetric)



Standard Model



Grand Unification

This leads to SUSY-GUTs with nice things like

- unified multiplets (e.g. **spinors of $SO(10)$**)
- gauge coupling unification
- Yukawa unification
- neutrino see-saw mechanism

Grand Unification

This leads to SUSY-GUTs with nice things like

- unified multiplets (e.g. **spinors of $SO(10)$**)
- gauge coupling unification
- Yukawa unification
- neutrino see-saw mechanism

But there remain a few difficulties:

- breakdown of GUT group (large representations)
- doublet-triplet splitting problem (incomplete multiplets)
- proton stability (need for R-parity)

String Theory

What do we get from string theory?

- supersymmetry
- extra spatial dimensions
- large unified gauge groups
- consistent theory of gravity

String Theory

What do we get from string theory?


- supersymmetry
- extra spatial dimensions
- large unified gauge groups
- consistent theory of gravity

These are the building blocks for a **unified theory** of all the fundamental interactions.


But do they fit together, and if yes how?

We need to understand the mechanism of compactification of the extra spatial dimensions


Calabi Yau Manifold



Orbifold



Where do we live?



Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:

- in the Bulk ($d = 10$ **untwisted** sector)
- on 3-Branes ($d = 4$ twisted sector **fixed points**)
- on 5-Branes ($d = 6$ twisted sector **fixed tori**)

Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:


- in the Bulk ($d = 10$ **untwisted** sector)
- on 3-Branes ($d = 4$ twisted sector **fixed points**)
- on 5-Branes ($d = 6$ twisted sector **fixed tori**)

but there is also a “localization” of gauge fields


- $E_8 \times E_8$ in the bulk
- smaller gauge groups on various branes

Observed 4-dimensional gauge group is common subgroup of the various localized gauge groups!

Localized gauge symmetries



Standard Model Gauge Group



Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs

- complete multiplets for fermion families
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons
- partial Yukawa unification

Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs


- complete multiplets for fermion families
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons
- partial Yukawa unification

Key properties of the theory depend on the **geography** of the fields in extra dimensions.

This geometrical set-up called **local GUTs**, can be realized in the framework of the “heterotic braneworld”.

(Förste, HPN, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2004; Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, Ratz, 2004)

The “fertile patch”: Z_6 II orbifold



(Kobayashi, Raby, Zhang, 2004; Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, Ratz, 2004)

- provides fixed points and fixed tori
- allows $SO(10)$ gauge group
- allows for **localized 16-plets** for 2 families
- $SO(10)$ broken via Wilson lines
- nontrivial hidden sector gauge group

Selection Strategy

criterion	$V^{\text{SO}(10),1}$	$V^{\text{SO}(10),2}$
② models with 2 Wilson lines	22,000	7,800
③ SM gauge group $\subset \text{SO}(10)$	3563	1163
④ 3 net families	1170	492
⑤ gauge coupling unification	528	234
⑥ no chiral exotics	128	90

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2006)

The road to the MSSM

This scenario leads to

- 200 models with the **exact spectrum of the MSSM** (absence of chiral exotics)

- **local grand unification** (by construction)

- gauge- and (partial) Yukawa unification

(Raby, Wingerter, 2007)

- examples of **neutrino see-saw mechanism**

(Buchmüller, Hamguchi, Lebedev, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, 2007)

- models with **R-parity** + solution to the μ -problem

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

- gaugino condensation and **mirage mediation**

(Löwen, HPN, 2008)

A Benchmark Model

At the orbifold point the gauge group is

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^9 \times SU(4) \times SU(2)$$

- one $U(1)$ is anomalous
- there are singlets and vectorlike exotics
- decoupling of exotics and breakdown of gauge group has been verified
- remaining gauge group

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y \times SU(4)_{\text{hidden}}$$


- for discussion of neutrinos and R-parity we keep also the $U(1)_{B-L}$ charges

Spectrum

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/6, 1/3)}$	q_i	3	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-2/3, -1/3)}$	\bar{u}_i
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1, 1)}$	\bar{e}_i	8	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	m_i
3 + 1	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/3, -1/3)}$	\bar{d}_i	1	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/3, 1/3)}$	d_i
3 + 1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, -1)}$	l_i	1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 1)}$	\bar{l}_i
1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, 0)}$	h_d	1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 0)}$	h_u
6	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/3, 2/3)}$	$\bar{\delta}_i$	6	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/3, -2/3)}$	δ_i
14	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, *)}$	s_i^+	14	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, *)}$	s_i^-
16	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, 1)}$	\bar{n}_i	13	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, -1)}$	n_i
5	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 1)}$	$\bar{\eta}_i$	5	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, -1)}$	η_i
10	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 0)}$	h_i	2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 0)}$	y_i
6	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	f_i	6	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	\bar{f}_i
2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, -1)}$	f_i^-	2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 1)}$	\bar{f}_i^+
4	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, \pm 2)}$	χ_i	32	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, 0)}$	s_i^0
2	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/6, 2/3)}$	\bar{v}_i	2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/6, -2/3)}$	v_i

Unification

- Higgs doublets are in untwisted (U3) sector
- trilinear coupling to the top-quark allowed
- threshold corrections (“on third torus”) allow unification at correct scale around 10^{16} GeV



(Hosteins, Kappl, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, 2009)

See-saw neutrino masses

The see-saw mechanism requires

- right handed neutrinos ($Y = 0$ and $B - L = \pm 1$),
- heavy Majorana neutrino masses M_{Majorana} ,
- Dirac neutrino masses M_{Dirac} .

The benchmark model has 49 right handed neutrinos:

- the left handed neutrino mass is $m_\nu \sim M_{\text{Dirac}}^2 / M_{\text{eff}}$
- with $M_{\text{eff}} < M_{\text{Majorana}}$ and depends on the number of right handed neutrinos.

(Buchmüller, Hamguchi, Lebedev, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, 2007;
Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

Spectrum

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/6, 1/3)}$	q_i	3	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-2/3, -1/3)}$	\bar{u}_i
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1, 1)}$	\bar{e}_i	8	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	m_i
3 + 1	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/3, -1/3)}$	\bar{d}_i	1	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/3, 1/3)}$	d_i
3 + 1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, -1)}$	l_i	1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 1)}$	\bar{l}_i
1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, 0)}$	h_d	1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 0)}$	h_u
6	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/3, 2/3)}$	$\bar{\delta}_i$	6	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/3, -2/3)}$	δ_i
14	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, *)}$	s_i^+	14	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, *)}$	s_i^-
16	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, 1)}$	\bar{n}_i	13	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, -1)}$	n_i
5	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 1)}$	$\bar{\eta}_i$	5	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, -1)}$	η_i
10	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 0)}$	h_i	2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{(0, 0)}$	y_i
6	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	f_i	6	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, *)}$	\bar{f}_i
2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/2, -1)}$	f_i^-	2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/2, 1)}$	\bar{f}_i^+
4	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, \pm 2)}$	χ_i	32	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(0, 0)}$	s_i^0
2	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(-1/6, 2/3)}$	\bar{v}_i	2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{(1/6, -2/3)}$	v_i

R-parity

- R-parity allows the **distinction** between Higgs bosons and sleptons
- $SO(10)$ **contains R-parity** as a discrete subgroup of $U(1)_{B-L}$.
- in conventional “**field theory GUTs**” one needs large representations to break $U(1)_{B-L}$ (≥ 126 dimensional)
- in **heterotic string** models one has more candidates for R-parity (and generalizations thereof)
- one just needs **singlets with an even $B - L$ charge** that **break $U(1)_{B-L}$ down to R-parity**

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

Discrete Symmetries

There are numerous discrete symmetries:

- from geometry
- and stringy selection rules,
- both of abelian and nonabelian nature

(Kobayashi, HPN, Plöger, Raby, Ratz, 2006)

The importance of these discrete symmetries cannot be underestimated. After all, besides the gauge symmetries this is what we get in string theory.

At low energies the discrete symmetries might appear as accidental continuous global $U(1)$ symmetries.

Accidental Symmetries

Applications of discrete and accidental global symmetries:

- (nonabelian) family symmetries (and FCNC)

(Ko, Kobayashi, Park, Raby, 2007)

- Yukawa textures (via Frogatt-Nielsen mechanism)

- a solution to the μ -problem

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

- creation of hierarchies

(Kappl, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, Vaudrevange, 2008)


- proton stability via “Proton Hexality”

(Dreiner, Luhn, Thormeier, 2005; Förste, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2009)

- approximate global $U(1)$ for a QCD action

(Choi, Kim, Kim, 2006; Choi, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2008)

Gaugino Condensation



Gravitino mass $m_{3/2} = \Lambda^3 / M_{\text{Planck}}^2$ and $\Lambda \sim \exp(-S)$

We need to fix the dilaton!

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2006)

Dilaton (Modulus) Domination


This leads to a “gravity mediation” scenario,

- but we still have to adjust the vacuum energy.

Here we need a “downlifting” mechanism:

- “downlifting” mechanism can fix S as well (no need for nonperturbative corrections to the Kähler potential)
(Löwen, HPN, 2008)
- gives a suppression factor $\log(m_{3/2}/M_{\text{Planck}})$
(Choi, Falkowski, HPN, Olechowski, 2005)
- mirage mediation for gaugino masses

Evolution of couplings




Mirage Scale

$$\alpha = 1$$

$$m_{3/2} = 20 \text{ TeV}$$

$$\phi = 0$$



The Gaugino Code

Mixed boundary conditions at the GUT scale characterized by the parameter α :
the ratio of modulus to anomaly mediation.


- $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 \simeq 1 : 2 : 6$ for $\alpha \simeq 0$
- $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 \simeq 1 : 1.3 : 2.5$ for $\alpha \simeq 1$
- $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 \simeq 1 : 1 : 1$ for $\alpha \simeq 2$
- $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 \simeq 3.3 : 1 : 9$ for $\alpha \simeq \infty$

The mirage scheme leads to


- LSP χ_1^0 predominantly Bino
- a “compact” gaugino mass pattern.

(Choi, HPN, 2007; Löwen, HPN, 2009)


Gaugino Masses



Scalar Masses



Scalar Masses




Constraints on α

$$\tan \beta = 30$$

$$\xi = 1/3$$

$$\phi = 0$$



Conclusion

String theory provides us with **new ideas for particle physics** model building, leading to concepts such as

- **MSSM via Local Grand Unification**
- **Accidental symmetries (of discrete origin)**

Geography of extra dimensions plays a crucial role:

- **localization** of fields on branes,
- **sequestered sectors and mirage mediation**

We seem to live at a special place in the extra dimensions!

The LHC might clarify the case for (local) grand unification.

Where do we live?

