The MiniLandscape and the 750 **GeV Di-Photon Challenge**

Hans Peter Nilles

Physikalisches Institut Universität Bonn



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Joannina, Greece, June 2016 – p. 1/34

Strings and Particle Physics

String theory provides us with

- gauge groups, matter multiplets for quarks and leptons,
- discrete symmetries.

The MSSM is not a generic prediction of string theory:

- need exploration of the "Landscape" at non-generic points with higher symmetries
- that provide enhanced discrete (R)-symmetries.
- *R*-symmetries as extension of supersymmetry

The geometry of compactified space (and its symmetries) is a crucial ingredient for successful model building.

The 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge

The MiniLandscape contains "heavy" particles

- vector-like pairs of quarks and leptons
- standard model singlets
- \checkmark additional U(1) gauge bosons

This poses the question concerning the new mass scales

- a multi- μ -problem
- \checkmark connected to a web of discrete (*R*)-symmetries.

The geometry of compactified space (and its symmetries) is a crucial ingredient for successful model building.

Calabi Yau Manifold



Orbifold



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Ioannina, Greece, June 2016 - p. 5/34

Geography

Many properties of the models depend on the geography of extra dimensions, such as

- the location of quarks and leptons,
- the relative location of Higgs bosons,

Geography

Many properties of the models depend on the geography of extra dimensions, such as

- the location of quarks and leptons,
- the relative location of Higgs bosons,
- but there is also a "localization" of gauge fields
 - $E_8 \times E_8$ in the bulk
 - smaller gauge groups on various branes

Observed 4-dimensional gauge group is common subroup of the various localized gauge groups!

Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:

- in the Bulk (d = 10 untwisted sector)
- on 3-Branes (d = 4 twisted sector fixed points)
- on 5-Branes (d = 6 twisted sector fixed tori)

Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:

- in the Bulk (d = 10 untwisted sector)
- on 3-Branes (d = 4 twisted sector fixed points)
- on 5-Branes (d = 6 twisted sector fixed tori)

but there is also a "localization" of gauge fields

- $E_8 \times E_8$ in the bulk
- smaller gauge groups on various branes

Observed 4-dimensional gauge group is common subroup of the various localized gauge groups!



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Ioannina, Greece, June 2016 – p. 8/34

Standard Model Gauge Group



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Ioannina, Greece, June 2016 - p. 9/34

Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs:

- complete multiplets for fermion families,
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons,
- partial Yukawa unification.

Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs:

- complete multiplets for fermion families,
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons,
- partial Yukawa unification.

Key properties of the theory depend on the geography of the fields in extra dimensions.

At specific "branes" we have

- enhancement of gauge symmetries,
- enhancement supersymmetry through *R*-symmetries.

The MiniLandscape

It all started with the Z_3 orbifold.

- MiniLandscape with explicit models for Z₆II (Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007-2009)
- Iocal grand unification (by construction)
- gauge- and (partial) Yukawa unification
- models with matter-parity + solution to the μ -problem (Lebedev et al., 2007)
- explicit construction based on Z_6II , $Z_2 \times Z_2$ and $Z_2 \times Z_4$ (Blaszczyk, Groot-Nibbelink, Ratz, Ruehle, Trapletti, Vaudrevange, 2010; Mayorga-Pena, HPN, Oehlmann, 2012)

⁽Kim, Ibanez, Nilles, Quevedo, 1987)

Structure of Sectors of $Z_2 \times Z_4$

The underlying $Z_2 \times Z_4$ orbifold has the following sectors:

the untwisted sector



Fields live in the bulk d = 10 with remnant N = 4 Susy. Extended supersymmetry from torus compactification.

Twisted Sectors

Twisted sectors correspond to the $Z_2(\theta)$ and $Z_4(\omega)$ twists



The ω sector has 2 x 2 = 4 fixed tori, corresponding to

• "5-branes" confined to d = 6 space time (N = 2 Susy). Partially extended supersymmetry.

$\theta \omega$ Twisted Sector



The $\theta\omega$ twisted sector contains 4 x 2 x 2 fixed points:

• "3-branes" confined to 4 space-time dimension (sector with remnant of N = 1 Susy).

Location of fields determines the symmetries. Light fields have to be protected by symmetries: this is the generalized μ -problem

Discrete Family Symmetries

The first two families live at fixed points:



Discrete Family Symmetries

The first two families live at fixed points:



- they exhibit a D_4 family symmetry
- subgroup of SU(2) flavour symmetry
- its origin is the interplay of geometry and selection rules

(Kobayashi, Nilles, Ploger, Raby, Ratz, 2007)

R-symmetries and extended Susy

R-symmetry can be understood as an extension of Susy

- N = 1 Susy with $U(1)_R$ forbids gaugino masses, μ -term and trilinear soft terms (A)
- broken to discrete symmetry like Z_2 matter parity to guarantee proton stability

R-symmetries and extended Susy

R-symmetry can be understood as an extension of Susy

- N = 1 Susy with $U(1)_R$ forbids gaugino masses, μ -term and trilinear soft terms (A)
- broken to discrete symmetry like Z_2 matter parity to guarantee proton stability

The superpotential transforms nontrivially under any R-symmetry

- gravitino mass as signal of
 R-symmetry breakdown and Susy breakdown,
- connects μ -term with Susy breakdown and provides a solution to the μ -problem.

R-symmetries from strings

The origin of *R*-symmetries is two-fold:

- String selection rules, (Cabo-Bizet et al., 2013; Kappl et al., 2014)
- Lorentz group of extra dimensions $SO(9,1) \rightarrow SO(3,1) \times SO(6).$

Connections to *N*-extended Susy:

- torus compactification from 10 to 4 leads to N = 4 Susy,
- R-symmetry $SU(4)_R \sim SO(6)$ (completely geometric),
- fixed tori from d = 6 could lead to N = 2 extended Susy,
- R-symmetry $SU(2)_R$ (which is partially geometric). (Kappl, Nilles, 2016, to appear)

Properties of *R***-symmetry**

Connection between *R*-symmetry and holonomy group of compact manifold:

- SU(3) holonomy $(\Gamma^{ijk}H_{ijk})$,
- its relation to the superpotential
- and gaugino condensates of hidden gauge group.
- Maximal geometric group is $SU(4)_R$ descending from d = 10 and thus completely of geometric origin.
- From d = 6 we can have $U(2)_R \times U(2)_R$ partially from geometry and partially from selection rules,
- similar to the situation of normal discrete symmetries (e.g. D₄ flavour symmetry discussed earlier).

The power of R-symmetries

More R-symmetries imply better protection than just Susy alone. This is of particular importance for

- the solution of the μ -problem,
- connection of μ -term to gravitino mass and Susy breakdown via nonperturbative effects,
- the question of proton stability (protected by discrete symmetries),
- pattern of soft Susy breaking terms in various sectors of extended Susy. (Krippendorf, Nilles, Ratz, Winkler, 2012-13)

R-symmetries (as extended supersymmetry) can protect the masses of Higgs bosons and other "vector-like exotics" (Kappl, Nilles, 2016, to appear)

A Benchmark Model

At the orbifold point the gauge group is

```
SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^9 \times SU(4) \times SU(2)
```

- one U(1) is anomalous
- there are singlets and vector-like exotics
 (Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)
- MSSM requires decoupling of exotics and breakdown of gauge group as well as a protection of the μ -term
- remaining gauge group

 $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y \times SU(4)_{\text{hidden}}$

 \blacksquare R-symmetries could protect vector-like exotics as well

Spectrum

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	$(3,2;1,1)_{(1/6,1/3)}$	q_i	3	$ig({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-2/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{u}_i$
3	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1,1)}$	$ar{e}_i$	8	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	m_i
3 + 1	$ig(\overline{f 3},f 1;f 1,f 1ig)_{(1/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{d}_i$	1	$(3,1;1,1)_{(-1/3,1/3)}$	d_i
3 + 1	$(1,2;1,1)_{(-1/2,-1)}$	ℓ_i	1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,1)}$	$ar{\ell}_i$
1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,0)}$	h_d	1	$({f 1,2;1,1})_{(1/2,0)}$	h_u
6	$ig({f \overline{3}},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(1/3,2/3)}$	$ar{\delta}_i$	6	$(3,1;1,1)_{(-1/3,-2/3)}$	δ_i
14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,*)}$	s^+_i	14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,*)}$	s_i^-
16	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,1)}$	\bar{n}_i	13	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,-1)}$	n_i
5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,1)}$	$ar\eta_i$	5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,-1)}$	η_i
10	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	h_i	2	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	y_i
6	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	f_i	6	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(0,*)}$	$ar{f}_i$
2	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(-1/2,-1)}$	f_i^-	2	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(1/2,1)}$	\bar{f}_i^+
4	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,\pm2)}$	χ_i	32	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,0)}$	s_i^0
2	$ig({f \overline{3}},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-1/6,2/3)}$	$ar{v}_i$	2	$({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/6,-2/3)}$	v_i

Unification

- Higgs doublets are in untwisted sector (bulk)
- heavy top quark in untwisted sector (bulk)
- µ-term protected by a discrete R-symmetry



- Minkowski vacuum before Susy breakdown (no AdS)
- natural incorporation of gauge-Yukawa unification
- are there additional (protected) vector-like exotics?

A new state at 750 GeV?



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Joannina, Greece, June 2016 – p. 23/34

A simple explanation?



Requires new ingredients:

a standard model singlet ϕ and vector-like quarks (leptons)

The cross section-narrow resonance



(Nilles, Winkler, 2016)

The cross section-broad resonance



The cross section-split resonance



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Ioannina, Greece, June 2016 – p. 27/34

Some remarks

The cross section is pretty large

- need large couplings or many intermediate states
- could lead to Landau poles for gauge and/or Yukawa couplings
- Is this possible within a perturbative grand unified picture?

Some remarks

The cross section is pretty large

- need large couplings or many intermediate states
- could lead to Landau poles for gauge and/or Yukawa couplings
- Is this possible within a perturbative grand unified picture?

Can supersymmetry help?

- complex scalar = scalar + pseudoscalar
- fermions and scalar partners in loops
- Iarge A-terms can enhance cross section

The SUSY Contribution



- could have split resonance (mimics broad resonance)
- upper limit on A-terms because of vacuum stability
- how may vector-like pairs do we need and are they consistent within a perturbative GUT picture?

(Nilles, Winkler, 2016)

Maximal Di-Photon Cross Sections



(Nilles, Winkler, 2016)

Slepton Masses



Squark Masses



The MiniLandscape, discrete R-symmetries and the 750 GeV Di-Photon Challenge, StringPheno2016, Ioannina, Greece, June 2016 – p. 32/34

What next?

Wait and see!

- Broad or narrow resonance? Split resonance?
- What is the spin of the resonance?
- Are there connected (jet) activities?
- Is there a mixing with the Higgs system?
- We need to check the predictions concerning other di-boson signals like WW, ZZ and Z γ
- Direct search for masses of vector-like pairs
- Are there additional U(1)' gauge bosons

After that we can restart model building again.....

Messages

- The MSSM is (un)fortunately not a generic prediction of the string landscape
- The world beyond the Standard Model might be more complex than we thought
- Minimality no longer the correct guiding principle?
- The problem of the scales is even more pronounced
- Web of discrete *R*-symmetries as extension of SUSY is needed to solve the various μ problems
- Approximate discrete symmetries allow hierarchies via a Frogatt-Nielsen mechanism. (Kappl, Nilles, 2016, to appear)

Apparently we need experiment to guide our way!