Strings and Unification

Hans Peter Nilles

Physikalisches Institut

Universität Bonn



Questions

- What can we learn from strings for particle physics?
- Can we incorporate particle physics models within the framework of string theory?

Questions

- What can we learn from strings for particle physics?
- Can we incorporate particle physics models within the framework of string theory?

Recent progress:

- explicit model building towards the MSSM
 - Heterotic brane world
 - Iocal grand unification
- moduli stabilization and Susy breakdown
 - gaugino condensation and uplifting
 - mirage mediation

The road to the Standard Model

What do we want?

- gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- 3 families of quarks and leptons
- scalar Higgs doublet

The road to the Standard Model

What do we want?

- gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- 3 families of quarks and leptons
- scalar Higgs doublet
- But there might be more:
 - supersymmetry (SM extended to MSSM)
 - neutrino masses and mixings

as a hint for a large mass scale around 10^{16} GeV

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

```
M_{\rm GUT} \sim 10^{16} {\rm GeV} and M_{\rm SUSY} \sim 10^3 {\rm GeV}:
```

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

 $M_{\rm GUT} \sim 10^{16} {\rm GeV}$ and $M_{\rm SUSY} \sim 10^3 {\rm GeV}$:

Neutrino-oscillations and "See-Saw Mechanism"

 $m_{\nu} \sim M_W^2/M_{\rm GUT}$ $m_{\nu} \sim 10^{-3} {\rm eV} \text{ for } M_W \sim 100 {\rm GeV},$

Indirect evidence

Experimental findings suggest the existence of two new scales of physics beyond the standard model

 $M_{\rm GUT} \sim 10^{16} {\rm GeV}$ and $M_{\rm SUSY} \sim 10^3 {\rm GeV}$:

Neutrino-oscillations and "See-Saw Mechanism"

 $m_{
u} \sim M_W^2/M_{
m GUT}$ $m_{
u} \sim 10^{-3} {
m eV}$ for $M_W \sim 100 {
m GeV}$,

Evolution of couplings constants of the standard model towards higher energies.

MSSM (supersymmetric)



Standard Model



Grand Unification

This leads to SUSY-GUTs with nice things like

- unified multiplets (e.g. spinors of SO(10))
- gauge coupling unification
- Yukawa unification
- neutrino see-saw mechanism

Grand Unification

This leads to SUSY-GUTs with nice things like

- unified multiplets (e.g. spinors of SO(10))
- gauge coupling unification
- Yukawa unification
- neutrino see-saw mechanism

But there remain a few difficulties:

- breakdown of GUT group (large representations)
- doublet-triplet splitting problem (incomplete multiplets)
- proton stability (need for R-parity)

String Theory

What do we get from string theory?

- supersymmetry
- extra spatial dimensions
- Iarge unified gauge groups
- consistent theory of gravity

String Theory

What do we get from string theory?

- supersymmetry
- extra spatial dimensions
- Iarge unified gauge groups
- consistent theory of gravity

These are the building blocks for a unified theory of all the fundamental interactions. But do they fit together, and if yes how?

We need to understand the mechanism of compactification of the extra spatial dimensions

Calabi Yau Manifold



Orbifold



Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:

- in the Bulk (d = 10 untwisted sector)
- on 3-Branes (d = 4 twisted sector fixed points)
- on 5-Branes (d = 6 twisted sector fixed tori)

Localization

Quarks, Leptons and Higgs fields can be localized:

- in the Bulk (d = 10 untwisted sector)
- on 3-Branes (d = 4 twisted sector fixed points)
- on 5-Branes (d = 6 twisted sector fixed tori)

but there is also a "localization" of gauge fields

- $E_8 \times E_8$ in the bulk
- smaller gauge groups on various branes

Observed 4-dimensional gauge group is common subroup of the various localized gauge groups!

Localized gauge symmetries



(Förste, HPN, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2004)

Standard Model Gauge Group



(Förste, HPN, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2004)

Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs

- complete multiplets for fermion families
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons
- partial Yukawa unification

Local Grand Unification

In fact string theory gives us a variant of GUTs

- complete multiplets for fermion families
- split multiplets for gauge- and Higgs-bosons
- partial Yukawa unification

Key properties of the theory depend on the geography of the fields in extra dimensions.

This geometrical set-up called local GUTs, can be realized in the framework of the "heterotic braneworld". (Förste, HPN, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2004; Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, Ratz, 2004)

The "fertile patch": Z_6 II orbifold



(Kobayashi, Raby, Zhang, 2004; Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, Ratz, 2004)

- provides fixed points and fixed tori
- allows SO(10) gauge group
- allows for localized 16-plets for 2 families
- \blacksquare SO(10) broken via Wilson lines
- nontrivial hidden sector gauge group

Selection Strategy

criterion	$V^{\mathrm{SO}(10),1}$	$V^{\mathrm{SO}(10),2}$
② models with 2 Wilson lines	22,000	7,800
\Im SM gauge group \subset SO(10)	3563	1163
④ 3 net families	1170	492
5 gauge coupling unification	528	234
6 no chiral exotics	128	90

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2006)

The road to the MSSM

This scenario leads to

- 200 models with the exact spectrum of the MSSM (absence of chiral exotics)
- Iocal grand unification (by construction)
- gauge- and (partial) Yukawa unification

(Raby, Wingerter, 2007)

examples of neutrino see-saw mechanism

(Buchmüller, Hamguchi, Lebedev, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, 2007)

• models with R-parity + solution to the μ -problem

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

gaugino condensation and mirage mediation

(Löwen, HPN, 2008)

A Benchmark Model

At the orbifold point the gauge group is

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^9 \times SU(4) \times SU(2)$

- one U(1) is anomalous
- there are singlets and vectorlike exotics
- decoupling of exotics and breakdown of gauge group has been verified
- remaining gauge group

 $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y \times SU(4)_{\text{hidden}}$

• for discussion of neutrinos and R-parity we keep also the $U(1)_{B-L}$ charges

Spectrum

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	$(3,2;1,1)_{(1/6,1/3)}$	q_i	3	$ig({f \overline{3}},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-2/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{u}_i$
3	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1,1)}$	$ar{e}_i$	8	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	m_i
3 + 1	$ig(\overline{f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(1/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{d}_i$	1	$({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/3,1/3)}$	d_i
3 + 1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,-1)}$	ℓ_i	1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,1)}$	$ar{\ell}_i$
1	$({f 1,2;1,1})_{(-1/2,0)}$	h_d	1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,0)}$	h_u
6	$ig({f \overline{3}},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(1/3,2/3)}$	$ar{\delta}_i$	6	$(3,1;1,1)_{(-1/3,-2/3)}$	δ_i
14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,*)}$	s_i^+	14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,*)}$	s_i^-
16	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,1)}$	\bar{n}_i	13	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,-1)}$	n_i
5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,1)}$	$ar\eta_i$	5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,-1)}$	η_i
10	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	h_i	2	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	y_i
6	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	f_i	6	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(0,*)}$	$ar{f}_i$
2	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(-1/2,-1)}$	f_i^-	2	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(1/2,1)}$	\bar{f}_i^+
4	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,\pm2)}$	χ_i	32	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,0)}$	s^0_i
2	$ig(\overline{f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-1/6,2/3)}$	$ar{v}_i$	2	$({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/6,-2/3)}$	v_i

Unification

- Higgs doublets are in untwisted (U3) sector
- trilinear coupling to the top-quark allowed



threshold corrections ("on third torus") allow unification at correct scale around 10¹⁶ GeV

(Hosteins, Kappl, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, 2009)

See-saw neutrino masses

The see-saw mechanism requires

- right handed neutrinos (Y = 0 and $B L = \pm 1$),
- heavy Majorana neutrino masses $M_{\rm Majorana}$,
- Dirac neutrino masses M_{Dirac} .

The benchmark model has 49 right handed neutrinos:

- the left handed neutrino mass is $m_{\nu} \sim M_{\rm Dirac}^2/M_{\rm eff}$
- with $M_{\rm eff} < M_{\rm Majorana}$ and depends on the number of right handed neutrinos.

(Buchmüller, Hamguchi, Lebedev, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, 2007; Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

Spectrum

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	$(3,2;1,1)_{(1/6,1/3)}$	q_i	3	$ig(\overline{f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-2/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{u}_i$
3	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1,1)}$	$ar{e}_i$	8	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	m_i
3 + 1	$ig(\overline{f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(1/3,-1/3)}$	$ar{d}_i$	1	$({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/3,1/3)}$	d_i
3 + 1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,-1)}$	ℓ_i	1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,1)}$	$ar{\ell}_i$
1	$({f 1,2;1,1})_{(-1/2,0)}$	h_d	1	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,0)}$	h_u
6	$ig({f \overline{3}},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(1/3,2/3)}$	$ar{\delta}_i$	6	$(3,1;1,1)_{(-1/3,-2/3)}$	δ_i
14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/2,*)}$	s^+_i	14	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(-1/2,*)}$	s_i^-
16	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,1)}$	\bar{n}_i	13	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,-1)}$	n_i
5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,1)}$	$ar\eta_i$	5	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,-1)}$	η_i
10	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	h_i	2	$({f 1},{f 2};{f 1},{f 2})_{(0,0)}$	y_i
6	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(0,*)}$	f_i	6	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(0,*)}$	$ar{f}_i$
2	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 4},{f 1})_{(-1/2,-1)}$	f_i^-	2	$ig(1,1;\overline{4},1ig)_{(1/2,1)}$	\bar{f}_i^+
4	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,\pm2)}$	χ_i	32	$({f 1},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(0,0)}$	s^0_i
2	$ig(\overline{f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1}ig)_{(-1/6,2/3)}$	$ar{v}_i$	2	$({f 3},{f 1};{f 1},{f 1})_{(1/6,-2/3)}$	v_i

R-parity

- R-parity allows the distinction between Higgs bosons and sleptons
- SO(10) contains R-parity as a discrete subgroup of $U(1)_{B-L}$.
- in conventional "field theory GUTs" one needs large representations to break $U(1)_{B-L}$ (≥ 126 dimensional)
- in heterotic string models one has more candidates for R-parity (and generalizations thereof)
- one just needs singlets with an even B L charge that break $U(1)_{B-L}$ down to R-parity

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

Discrete Symmetries

There are numerous discrete symmetries:

- from geometry
- and stringy selection rules,
- both of abelian and nonabelian nature

(Kobayashi, HPN, Plöger, Raby, Ratz, 2006)

The importance of these discrete symmetries cannot be underestimated. After all, besides the gauge symmetries this is what we get in string theory.

At low energies the discrete symmetries might appear as accidental continuous global U(1) symmetries.

Accidental Symmetries

Applications of discrete and accidental global symmetries:

(nonabelian) family symmetries (and FCNC)

(Ko, Kobayashi, Park, Raby, 2007)

- Yukawa textures (via Frogatt-Nielsen mechanism)
- **•** a solution to the μ -problem

(Lebedev, HPN, Raby, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange, Wingerter, 2007)

creation of hierarchies

(Kappl, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, Vaudrevange, 2008)

proton stability via "Proton Hexality"

(Dreiner, Luhn, Thormeier, 2005; Förste, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2009)

• approximate global U(1) for a QCD accion

(Choi, Kim, Kim, 2006; Choi, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2008)

The μ problem

In general we have to worry about

- doublet-triplet splitting
- mass term for additional doublets
- the appearance of "naturally" light doublets

In the benchmark model we have

- only 2 doublets
- which are neutral under all selection rules
- if $M(s_i)$ allowed in superpotential
- then $M(s_i)H_uH_d$ is allowed as well

The μ problem II

We have verified that (up to order 6 in the singlets)

- $F_i = 0$ implies automatically
- $M(s_i) = 0$ for all allowed terms $M(s_i)$ in the superpotential W

Therefore

- W = 0 in the supersymmetric (Minkowski) vacuum
- as well as $\mu = \partial^2 W / \partial H_u \partial H_d = 0$, while all the vectorlike exotics decouple
- with broken supersymmetry $\mu \sim m_{3/2} \sim < W >$

This solves the μ -problem

(Casas, Munoz, 1993)

The creation of the hierarchy

Is there an explanation for a vanishing μ ?

- string miracle?
- underlying symmetry?

Consider a superpotential

$$W = \sum c_{n_1 \cdots n_M} \phi_1^{n_1} \cdots \phi_M^{n_M} .$$

with an exact R-symmetry

$$W \rightarrow e^{2i\alpha} W, \quad \phi_j \rightarrow \phi'_j = e^{ir_j\alpha} \phi_j$$

where each monomial in W has total R-charge 2.

...hierarchy continued...

Consider a field configuration $\langle \phi_i \rangle$ with

$$F_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_i} = 0$$
 at $\phi_j = \langle \phi_j \rangle \forall i, j$.

Under an infinitesimal $U(1)_R$ transformation, the superpotential transforms nontrivially

$$W(\phi_j) \rightarrow W(\phi'_j) = W(\phi_j) + \sum_i \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_i} \Delta \phi_i$$

This proves that, if the F = 0 equations are satisfied, W vanishes at the minimum (as a consequence of a continuous R-symmetry)

Continuous R-symmetry

Thus for a continous R-symmetry we would have

- a supersymmetric ground state with W = 0and $U(1)_R$ spontaneously broken
- a problematic R-Goldstone-Boson

However, the above R-symmetry appears as an accidental continous symmetry resulting from an exact discrete symmetry of (high) order N

- Goldstone-Boson massive and harmless
- a nontrivial VEV of W of higher order in ϕ

(Kappl, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, Vaudrevange, 2008)

Hierarchy

Such accidental symmetries lead to

- creation of a small constant in the superpotential
- explanation of a small μ term

(Kappl, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Schmidt-Hoberg, Vaudrevange, 2008)

Even with a moderate hierarchy like $\phi/M_P \sim 10^{-2}$ one can generate small values for μ and $\langle W \rangle$ and thus a hierarchically small TeV-scale for the gravitino mass

$$m_{3/2} \sim W_{\text{eff}} = c + A e^{-aS}$$

in the framework of a modulus or mirage mediation scheme of supersymmetry breakdown.

(Löwen, HPN,2008)

Accions

Absence of continuous global U(1) symmetries in string theory leads to a question towards the

axion as a solution to the strong CP-problem

A gauge anomalous U(1) symmetry might help, but there we expect

a too large axion decay constant of order of string scale

Again additional accidental gobal U(1) symmetries arising as a consequence of discrete symmetries might help,

(Choi, Kim, Kim, 2007; Choi, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2009)

but we need to control the accion scale F_a .

Multi-Axion Systems

Consider a system with two U(1) symmetries: $U(1)_P \times U(1)_Q$ and suppose that they are broken spontaneously.

$$F_{a_1} = -v_1 \frac{q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2}{q_f^2}, \qquad F_{a_2} = v_2 \frac{q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2}{q_f^1}.$$

The relevant accion decay constant will then be

$$F_a = \left(\left(\frac{1}{F_{a_1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{F_{a_2}}\right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} = \frac{v_1 v_2 \left(q_P^1 q_Q^2 - q_Q^1 q_P^2\right)}{\sqrt{(q_f^1 v_1)^2 + (q_f^2 v_2)^2}}$$

and it is dominated by the smallest VEV!

The Accion Program

- find a model with an accidental (colour)-anomalous $U(1)^*$
- identify a vacuum configuration where the VEVs driven by the Fayet-Iliopoulos term do not break $U(1)^*$
- search for a vacuum configuration where $U(1)^*$ is broken by a VEV in the axion window (some other gauge U(1)'s might be broken here as well)
- check that higher order non-renormalizable terms that break U(1)* explicitly are sufficiently suppressed to avoid a too "large" axion mass.

(Choi, HPN, Ramos-Sanchez, Vaudrevange, 2009)

can be accomodated in the Heterotic Brane World.

Conclusion

String theory provides us with new ideas for particle physics model building, leading to concepts such as

- MSSM via Local Grand Unification
- Accidental symmetries (of discrete origin)

Geography of extra dimensions plays a crucial role:

- Jocalization of fields on branes,
- sequestered sectors and mirage mediation

We seem to live at a special place in the extra dimensions!

The LHC might clarify the case for (local) grand unification.